DUTY ALLOWANCE.

No.	Designations
5	1. Superintendent, Books Section.
	2. Head Accountant, State Huzur Treasury.
	3. Test Audit Accountant, P.W. Inspections.
	4. Official doing cash work in the office.
	5. Official in charge of Stores Purchase Committee work.

LOCAL ALLOWANCE.

No.	Designations
7	Commercial, local and outside auditors stationed at Mysore.

(iii) Conveyance allowances are granted to officials who have to cover long distances, in the same place for doing their work.

CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE.

No.	Designations
11	Commercial, local and outside auditors stationed at Bangalore.

(iv) Personal allowances are examination allowances and are given for passing the S.A.S. Examination when promotion to the grade of Superintendents is not possible for want of vacancies.

PERSONAL ALLOWANCE.

No.	Designations
8	Accountants who have passed S.A.S. Examination.

Scheme for a Bridge at Kellodu.

Q.—46. **Mr. B. MARULAPPA** (Hosdurga Taluk).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) whether it is not a fact that the Chief Engineer has received the estimate for the construction of a bridge at Kellodu in Hosdurga Taluk;

(*b*) what is the action taken by the Chief Engineer upon it;

(*c*) has he inspected the spot and studied the local conditions;

(*d*) is it not a fact that this matter is being brought to the notice of Government both in the Representative Assembly and in the Legislative Council for quite a long time;

(*e*) is it not a fact that the District Board of Chitaldrug has agreed to contribute one-half of the cost of the estimate;

(*f*) has not the Government agreed to meet the other half;

(*g*) are the Government not aware that the District Board has provided for its share of the cost in their budget estimates for 1936-37;

(*h*) whether it is not a fact that it was represented that the work should be immediately commenced with the quota of the District Board?

A.—**The SECRETARY to GOVERNMENT, PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT** (Diwan Bahadur N. N. Ayyangar).—

(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) The estimate received from the Superintending Engineer has been returned to him for obtaining the countersignature of the President, District Board, Chitaldrug.

(*c*), (*d*) and (*e*) The answer is in the affirmative.

(*f*) Yes.

(*g*) Yes. A provision of Rs. 5,000 is said to have been made in the budget of 1936-37.

(*h*) Yes.

Mr. B. MARULAPPA.—With reference to (*b*), has not the President of the District Board returned the estimate with his countersignature?

Mr. N. N. AYYANGAR.—May be.

Mr. B. MARULAPPA.—Will the work be taken up this year without allowing the grant to lapse?

Mr. N. N. AYYANGAR.—Yes.

Visiting Professors to the Maharani's College.

Q.—48. **Mrs. K. D. RUKMINIAMMA** (Special Interests).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) the number of visiting professors or lecturers who work in the Maharani's College, Mysore, (i) in the

Intermediate, and (ii) in the B.A. classes;

(*b*) if these visiting professors, etc., are given any allowance for this extra work?

A.—The SECRETARY to GOVERNMENT, GENERAL DEPARTMENT (Mr. M. Venkatesa Iyengar).—

(*a*) The information is given below:—

	Inter. and B A. classes	Inter. classes only	B.A. classes only
1. Visiting Professors	1	...	2
2 Visiting Assistant Professors.	...	...	...
3. Visiting Lecturers and Tutors.	5	6	5

(*b*) No.

Mrs. K. D. RUKMINIAMMA.—Why is it no extra allowance is paid to these visiting professors even though they have to work for 31 periods a week?

Mr. M. VENKATESA IYENGAR.—It is considered part of their duty.

Mrs. K. D. RUKMINIAMMA.—In case new sections are added on to the Maharani's College in future, will they be expected to work then also without any extra allowance?

Mr. M. VENKATESA IYENGAR.—The question is hypothetical.

Mrs. K. D. RUKMINIAMMA.—Have they not applied for the grant of an allowance?

Mr. M. VENKATESA IYENGAR.—Notice.

Nomination of Women to Local Bodies.

Q.—51. Sri. D. SAKAMMA (Special Interests).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) in how many municipalities are women nominated (information to be given in the case of cities, towns and minor municipalities separately);

(*b*) are any women nominated to the Village Panchayets in the State?

A.—The SECRETARY to GOVERNMENT, DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT (Mr. M. Venkatanaranappa).—

(*a*) City Municipalities—None.

Town Municipalities—One lady is nominated to be a member of each of the Municipal Councils of Anekal, Hassan and Chitaldrug.

Minor Municipalities—None.

(*b*) None except in the case of Jodi Malligavalu village in Hassan Taluk.

Mr. S. K. VENKATARANGA IYENGAR.—As a matter of general policy, is it not desirable for the Government to provide seats for women in municipalities to represent the women's special interests?

Mr. M. VENKATANARANAPPA.—Government will consider their case at the time of nomination. Wherever possible, ladies will be included.

Mr. S. K. VENKATARANGA IYENGAR.—In British India have not seats been reserved for women?

Mr. M. VENKATANARANAPPA.—Even here it would be considered, if suitable candidates are available.

Mr. N. G. SANJEEVIAH.—Is it not desirable to nominate ladies to all local bodies?

Mr. PRESIDENT.—It is desirable provided they are available.

Mr. C. N. NARASINGA RAO.—Why was not any lady member nominated to the Bangalore City Municipality this time? Were not qualified ladies available?

Mr. M. VENKATANARANAPPA.—I cannot say.

Mr. S. C. MALLIAH.—With reference to answer (*b*), where was the trouble in nominating women more largely to the village panchayet? So far, only one has been nominated.

Mr. PRESIDENT.—In the Village Panchayet Regulation, there is no provision for nominating women. Your suggestion will however be examined.

Grant of Lands to Banajaras.

Q.—61. Mr. JATHARE NAIK (Minorities).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) the number of *darkhast* applications received from the Banajaras since 12 years;

(*b*) out of these applicants, how many have received lands and how many applications have been rejected?